Serial No.: 09/823,581 Response to OA of 03/15/2005

#### Amendments to the Claims

# This listing of claims will replace all prior versions, and listings, of the claims:

- 1. (currently amended) A computer implemented method for managing a collaborative process that involves at least a first player in a first enterprise having a first collaborative process manager and a second player in a second enterprises having a second collaborative process manager comprising the steps of:
- a) defining an inter-enterprise collaborative business process having a plurality of work nodes; wherein each work node has a task role identifier for specifying one of the first player and the second player as responsible for execution of the work node;
- b) the first collaborative process manager executing a first peer instance of the collaborative business process; and
- c) the second collaborative process manager executing a second pccr instance of the collaborative business process;

wherein the first peer instance of the collaborative business process and the second peer instance of the collaborative business process form a logical execution instance; and

wherein the first peer instance of the collaborative business process and the second peer instance of the collaborative business process communicate through messages for information exchange and synchronization.

- 2. (original) The method of claim 1 wherein the collaborative business process includes a plurality of tasks, the method further comprising the steps of:
  - d) the first collaborative process manager receiving a current task;
- e) the first collaborative process manager determining if the current task is the responsibility of the first collaborative process manager;
- f) when the current task is the responsibility of the first collaborative process manager, executing the current task; and
- g) when the current task is not the responsibility of the first collaborative process manager, not executing the current task.

Serial No.: 09/823,581 Response to OA of 03/15/2005

- 3. (currently amended) The method of claim 2-1 wherein the step of when the current task is the responsibility of the first collaborative process manager, executing the current task further comprises the steps of:
  - f\_1) scheduling the current task;
  - f\_2) dispatching the current task for execution;
  - f\_3) when the execution is complete, generating a task return message; and
  - f\_4) sending the task return message to the second collaborative process manager.
- 4. (currently amended) The method of claim 2-1 wherein the step of when the current task is not the responsibility of the first collaborative process manager, not executing the current task further comprises the steps of:
  - g\_1) not executing the current task;
- g\_2) waiting for a task return message from the second collaborative process manager; and
- g\_3) receiving a task return message from the second collaborative process manager.
- 5. (original) The method of claim 4 wherein the step of when the current task is not the responsibility of the first collaborative process manager, not executing the current task further comprises the steps of:
- g\_4) evaluating the current task return message to determine whether an out-of order condition has occurred;
- g\_5) when an out-of-order condition has occurred, queuing the task return message for later processing; and
- g\_6) an out-of-order condition has not occurred, processing the next task by employing the task return message.
- 6. (original) The method of claim 1 further comprising:

Serial No.: 09/823,581

Response to QA of 03/15/2005

using a cooperation key to identify a logical instance of the collaborative business process and to correlate and synchronize multiple peer instances of the execution of a single collaborative business process.

- 7. (original) The method of claim 4 employing task return messages for synchronizing the peer process instances and for exchanging data between the process instances; wherein each task return message includes
  - a cooperation key for specifying a logical process instance;
  - a local handle of the process instance and task;
  - an activity execution status; and
  - a sub-packet of process data passed to a task.
- 8. (original) The method of claim 1 wherein the collaborative process has a list of process-roles for indicating logical participants of the collaborative process; wherein each work node has a task role that matches one of the process roles; and wherein a peer process having a process role that matches the task role of a work node is responsible for executing the work node.
- 9. (original) The method of claim 1 further comprising the step of: providing a collaborative process definition language (CPDL) for use in defining collaborative business processes.
- 10. (original) The method of claim I wherein the inter-enterprise collaborative business process definition includes templates for holding the definitions and initial values of process data objects; wherein the templates each has a sharing scope that is one of public and process role specific; and wherein the method further comprises the step of:

specifying the sharing scope of at least one template.

11. (original) The method of claim 10 wherein the step of specifying the sharing scope of at least one template includes:

Serial No.: 09/823,581

Response to OA of 03/15/2005

setting the sharing scope as public; wherein the data object is public to all process-roles.

12. (original) The method of claim 10 wherein specifying the sharing scope includes the step of

setting the sharing scope as process-role specific for a particular process role; wherein the data object is accessible only to the process-role specified.

13. (original) The method of claim 10 wherein specifying the sharing scope includes the step of

setting the sharing scope as process-role specific for at least two different process roles; wherein the data object is accessible only to the specified process roles.

14. (currently amended) A <u>computer</u> system for allowing a first player in a first enterprise to collaborate with a second player in a second enterprise comprising:

a collaborative business process definition specified by a collaborative process definition language and based on a business collaboration protocol, the collaborative business process definition having a plurality of work nodes, each work node having a task role;

a first collaborative process manager in the first enterprise for executing a first peer process instance of the collaborative business process definition, the first peer process instance having a role; wherein the first peer process instance is responsible only for the work nodes that have a role that matches the role of the first peer instance;

a second collaborative process manager in the second enterprise for executing a second peer process instance of the collaborative business process definition, the second peer process instance having a role; wherein the second peer process instance is responsible only for the work nodes that have a role that matches the role of the second peer instance;

wherein the first peer process instance and the second peer process instance form a single logical execution instance; wherein the logical execution instance is identified by

Serial No.: 09/823,581

Response to OA of 03/15/2005

a cooperation key that is assigned to the first peer process instance and the second peer process instance; and

a peer to peer communication mechanism for enabling data exchange and synchronization between the first peer process instance and the second peer process instance.

# 15. (currently amended) The system of claim 1 further comprising:

a task return message generator for generating a task return message for each task executed by the collaborative process manager CPM.

### 16. (currently amended) The system of claim 14 further comprising:

an out-of-order handler mechanism for receiving messages from other collaborative process managers-CPMs, determining whether messages are received out of order, when messages are received out of order, halting execution, and when messages are not received out of order, continuing with execution.

# 17. (currently amended) The system of claim 14 further comprising:

an private sub-process manager for selectively making process data objects private to a particular collaborative process manager CPM.

# 18. (currently amended) The system of claim 14 further comprising:

a task role determination module for receiving the current task, for determining whether the current task is the responsibility of the <u>collaborative process manager CPM</u>, when the current task is the responsibility of the <u>collaborative process manager CPM</u>, for scheduling and dispatching the task for execution, when the current task is not the responsibility of the <u>collaborative process manager CPM</u>, not executing the current task.

- 19. (original) The system of claim 15 wherein each task return message includes
  - a cooperation key for specifying a logical process instance;
  - a local handle of the process instance and task;
  - an activity execution status; and

Serial No.: 09/823,581 Response to OA of 03/15/2005

a sub-packet of process data passed to a task.

20. (original) The system of claim 14 wherein the collaborative business process definition includes a list of process-roles for indicating logical participants of the collaborative process; wherein each work node has a task role that matches one of the process roles; and wherein a peer process having a process role that matches the task role of a work node is responsible for executing the work node.